

The Concept of Quality of Service in the Internet

Geoff Huston
APNIC
November 2013



31 August 2012

THIRD DRAFT OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL'S REPORT

**for the
Fifth World Telecommunication/Information and Communication Technologies Policy Forum
2013**

“With the move from traditional networks (based on dedicated service-channels and/or separate networks for each service) to integrated (transport) services on a single packet-based transport infrastructure, pre-defined transmission planning of Quality of Service (QoS) has become a major challenge, since many IP-based networks might not provide for self-standing end-to-end QoS, but only transport classes, which enable QoS differentiation. IP-based networks can support end-to-end QoS if the routers in between support the mechanisms and the network is designed for QoS.”

A little while ago...

amazon


Shop by
Department ▾

Search

Books ▾

Go

Books Advanced Search Browse Subjects New Releases Best Sellers The New York Times® Best Sellers Children's Books Textbooks Sell Your Books



Quality of Service: Delivering QoS on the Internet and in Corporate Networks [Paperback]

[Paul Ferguson](#) (Author), [Geoff Huston](#) (Author)

★★★★☆ (7 customer reviews) | Like (0)

Available from [these sellers.](#)

15 new from \$3.39 **32 used** from \$0.01 **1 collectible** from \$3.59

“Regardless of whether you are trying to implement QoS in a private network, or within a segment of the global Internet, QoS comes at a cost. There is no magic here.”

A little while ago...

amazon


Shop by Department ▾

Search

Books ▾

Go

Books Advanced Search Browse Subjects New Releases Best Sellers The New York Times® Best Sellers Children's Books Textbooks Sell Your Books



Quality of Service: Delivering QoS on the Internet and in Corporate Networks [Paperback]

[Paul Ferguson](#) (Author), [Geoff Huston](#) (Author)

★★★★☆ (7 customer reviews) | Like (0)

Available from [these sellers](#).

[15 new](#) from \$3.39 [32 used](#) from \$0.01 [1 collectible](#) from \$3.59

“Regardless of whether you are trying to implement QoS in a private network, or within a segment of the global Internet, QoS comes at a cost. There is no magic here.”

Round 2:

amazon


Shop by Department

Search

Books

Go

Books Advanced Search Browse Subjects New Releases Best Sellers The New York Times® Best Sellers Children's Books Textbooks Sell Your Books



Internet Performance Survival Guide: QoS Strategies for Multiservice Networks [Paperback]

[Geoff Huston](#) (Author)


★★★★☆ (9 customer reviews) | Like (0)

Available from [these sellers.](#)

7 new from \$7.34 29 used from \$0.01

QoS: “Caveat Emptor”

Voice Networks




300 - 3500 Hz
Most of the energy is below 1Khz
Dynamic range of 50db

Voice Networks




300 - 3500 Hz
Most of the energy is below 1Khz
Dynamic range of 50db

Digitization:
8000 samples / second
65,000 discrete levels
A-law encoding reduces this to 256 levels
64Kbps real time bitstream



Digital Voice Networks



64K bitstreams

Tightly defined service
Jitter and drop intolerant
Synchronous networking


Multiplexing via strict time switching
End-to-end synchronous virtual circuits
Fixed total capacity

Networks engineered to peak load profile
inefficient resource utilization
High precision clocking

Networks are costly to run

Network Services are expensive!

Data Networks



Early data protocols borrowed many concepts from the voice network's functions:

Point-to-point Virtual Circuits
Network defined capacity
Synchronous bitstream services

Packet Networks

Computers are far more versatile than humans:

Variable speed rates for data
Highly adaptive
Error tolerant
Jitter tolerant
Delay tolerant

Packet Data network requirements:
Stateless packet switching
Unreliable packet service


Adaptive load demands
No requirement for central network resource management

Networks engineered to sustained load profile
efficient resource utilization

Networks are cheaper to run

Network Services are cheap!

The Evolution of the Common Network Platform Model



But different services need different treatment... don't they?

Bulk Data Transfer - loss tolerant, jitter tolerant, adaptive speed

Web Systems - delay intolerant, jitter tolerant

Voice Services - delay intolerant, loss intolerant, jitter intolerant, fixed speed

Streaming Video Services - loss intolerant, fixed speed

But different services need different treatment... don't they?

Bulk Data - Congestion prone! delay tolerant, jitter tolerant, adaptive speed


Web Systems - delay intolerant, jitter tolerant

Voice Services - Congestion intolerant! delay intolerant, loss intolerant, jitter intolerant, fixed speed

Streaming Video - Congestion intolerant! delay intolerant, loss intolerant, fixed speed


How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?



Add more bandwidth!

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?



Add more bandwidth!

Too easy!

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?




Add more bandwidth!

Too easy!



Change the adaptive behaviour of the applications

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?



Add more bandwidth!

Too easy!

Change the adaptive behaviour of the applications

Too hard!

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?

Add more bandwidth!

Too easy!


Add resource management functions to the network

Change the adaptive behaviour of the applications

Too hard!


The Goldilocks Procedure!

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?



IP QoS -- Version 1

integrated Services




(Network equipped with admission control, virtual circuits and resource reservation capability)

"Integrated Services"

Adds the concept of a "flow state" into the network

The network must distribute a resource reservation along a static ("pinned") flow path



"Integrated Services"

Adds the concept of a "flow state" into the network

The network must distribute a resource reservation along a static ("pinned") flow path

This creates within the data network:


state

+ complexity


+ fragility

= **COST!**


... RSVP Path messages



... RSVP Resv messages



... RSVP Data Flow



"Integrated Services"


Adds the concept of a "flow state" into the network

The network must distribute a reserved static ("pinned") flow path

This creates


This form of QoS architecture simply does not scale!

fragility
COST!



IP QoS -- Version 2

Differentiated Services



"Differentiated Services"

This is a pretty simple rerun of the TOS packet painting approach. It's stateless, so it has more potential to scale to larger networks

... Differentiated Services

- Active differentiation of packet-based network traffic to provide a *better than best effort* performance for a defined traffic flow, as measured by one of more of:
 - Packet jitter
 - Packet loss
 - Packet delay
 - Available peak flow rate
- Implementable within a large network.
- Relatively difficult to measure success in providing service differentiation.



"Differentiated Services"

This is a pretty simple rerun of the TOS packet painting approach. It's stateless, so it has more potential to scale to larger networks

But DiffServe service outcomes are relative, not absolute

And there is no effective form of feedback control to monitor the outcomes that the network is providing


... Differentiated Services

- Active differentiation of packet-based network traffic to provide a *better than best effort* performance for a defined traffic flow, as measured by one of more of:
 - Packet jitter
 - Packet loss
 - Packet delay
 - Available peak flow rate
- Implementable within a large network.
- Relatively difficult to measure success in providing service differentiation.

.....

"Differentiated Services"

What is DiffServe attempting to tinker with?



"Differentiated Services"

This is a pretty simple rerun of the TOS packet painting approach. It's stateless, so it has more potential to scale +

netin-

Can't

Can't

Can't

And

feedback

outcor

... Differentiated Services
... perform per-flow resource reservations
... deliver assured outcomes
... guarantee fixed service response
... see it and can't measure it!

So who is prepared to pay for it?

... the network is providing

... network.
... measure success in providing
... differentiation.
... ..


And so on and so on...

- NSIS effort to standardise the signalling protocol between the application and the network for diffserve
- MPLS as the elastic QoS band aid!
- "Aggregated QoS" as an amalgam of Intserv and Diffserve, achieving none of either!

IP QoS

Balancing Cost and Benefit:

- Simple QoS mechanisms can be supported in small scale enterprise environments
- But as you try to scale up the QoS approach the cost rapidly increases and the relative benefits decrease
- It becomes a skewed exercise of spending 95% of your engineering budget to secure less than 1% of your revenue!



Why is IP QoS a Failure?

QoS does not create more network resources or a faster network

it just attempts to redistribute damage!



Why is IP QoS a Failure?

QoS does not create more network resources or a faster network

it cannot fix:

over subscription

buffer bloat and congestion

poor network design

poor business plans

continental drift

gravity

the speed of light



Why QoS?

Why QoS?


Why is ETNO so keen on QoS?

Why QoS?

Why is ETNO so keen on QoS?


- Because QoS appears to offer network operators increased visibility and the possibility of control over traffic flows that are passed over their networks


Back to networking basics....



Telco nostalgia...

The historical
vertically integrated
service architecture






Devolution of the integrated service architecture through an open IP service architecture and deregulation



Devolution of the integrated service architecture





Where's the money to invest in new network services?

Users



Services




Access Provider




Services-facing QoS provide control points in the IPv4 network that allow monetary extraction from both consumers and content providers

Users

Services



Access Provider



Why QoS?

Why is this control important?

- Because network operators believe that this will allow them to extort revenue from content service providers

Why QoS?

Why appeal to the ITU to mandate inter-provider IP QoS into the ITRs?

- Because when you are stuck with an unattractive business plan and you want to address this by generating an unnatural outcome in the market, there is nothing quite like having regulatory impost on your side!

Goldilocks was wrong!

How can you efficiently mix congestion-prone and congestion intolerant applications within a single network platform?

Add more bandwidth!

~~Add resource management functions to the network~~

Change the adaptive behaviour of the applications

Active Research Topic

Current Operational Practice!

Too hard!

Thank You!